

PROJECT NAME	Sustainable livelihoods through small-scale agriculture						
IMPACT	Impact Indicator 1		Baseline	Milestone 1	Milestone 2	Target (date)	
Reduced poverty and more sustainable livelihoods for poor and marginal communities in Bangladesh	The proportion of people in rural areas living below the national poverty line in Bangladesh (no district statistics source available)	Planned	40% (2010)				
		Achieved	25% (2015) (as per GOB)				
		Source					
	UN Human Development Reports, Government of Bangladesh national statistics reports						
	Impact Indicator 2	Planned					
		Achieved					
Source							

OUTCOME	Outcome Indicator 1		Baseline	Milestone 1 (March 31st 2013)	Milestone 2 (March 31st 2014)	Target (date) (March 31st 2015)	Assumptions	
Reduced poverty and more sustainable livelihoods for poor and marginal communities in Mymensingh and Sherpur through sustainable agricultural practices	Farmers that see a 50% increase in disposable income (disaggregated by gender and ethnicity)	Planned	Average current household income is BDT 56,441 (2012)	10% (600 of 6000) (240 Women/ 180 Garo)	30% (1800 of 6000) (720 Women/ 540 Garo)	80% (4800 of 6000) (1920 Women/ 1440 Garo)	Economic conditions are stable and conducive to small-scale agriculture  Bangladesh Government continues to promote agricultural growth and food security  Natural disasters do not undermine project impact	
		Achieved	Average current household income is BDT 118,000 (2015).	40.7% (2919 of 7171) (1547 women/767 garo)	60% (4778 of 7963) (2341 Women/ 1099 Garo)	74% (6016 of 8130) (3068 women/1263 Garo)		
		Source						
	Baseline & project progress report, annual & final project evaluations							
	Outcome Indicator 2	Farmers who perceive an improvement in their well-being (disaggregated by gender and ethnicity)	Planned	Overall current well-being score is 3.27 of 5 (2012)	10% (600 of 6000) (240 Women/ 180 Garo)	40% (2400 of 6000) (960 Women/ Garo 720)		80% (4800 of 6000) (1920 Women/ 1440 Garo)
			Achieved	Overall current well-being score is 3.96 of 5 (2015)				100% (8130 of 8130) (4182 women/1681 Garo)
Source								
Baseline & project progress report, annual & final project evaluations								
INPUTS (£)	DFID (£)		Govt (£)	Other (£)	Total (£)	DFID SHARE (%)		
	724,011			241,337	965,348	75		
INPUTS (HR)	DFID (FTEs)							
	20.042 (All project staff)							

OUTPUT 1	Output Indicator 1.1		Baseline	Milestone 1 (March 31st 2013)	Milestone 2 (March 31st 2014)	Target (date) (March 31st 2015)	Assumption
Target farmers are working collectively and collaboratively	Farmer groups taking collective actions	Planned	0% No farmer groups exist, so no collective actions taken (2012)	20% (48 of 240 groups)	50% (120 of 240)	75% (180 of 240)	Farmers, groups and associations are willing to work together  Strong leaders are available for the groups and associations  Local authorities and other stakeholders engage with the project
		Achieved	100% farmer groups taking collective actions (2015)	75% (180 of 240 groups)	100% (262 of 262 groups)	100% (262 of 262 groups)	
		Source					
	Baseline & project progress report, annual & final project evaluations						
	Output Indicator 1.2		Baseline	Milestone 1 (March 31st 2013)	Milestone 2 (March 31st 2014)	Target (date) (March 31st 2015)	

	Farmer groups that negotiate with local stakeholders for improved resources and services	<b>Planned</b>	0% No farmer groups exist, so no negotiation with local stakeholders (2012)	20% (48 of 240 groups)	40% (96 of 240)	50% (120 of 240)	
		<b>Achieved</b>	100% farmer groups negotiating with local stakeholders (2015)	38% (91 of 240 groups)	89% (235 of 262 groups)	100% (262 of 262 groups)	
		<b>Source</b>					
		Baseline & project progress report, annual & final project evaluations					
<b>IMPACT WEIGHTING (%)</b>	<b>Output Indicator 1.3</b>		<b>Baseline</b>	<b>Milestone 1 (March 31st 2013)</b>	<b>Milestone 2 (March 31st 2014)</b>	<b>Target (date) (March 31st 2015)</b>	
40	Farmers that perceive benefits from membership of local groups (disaggregated by gender and ethnicity)	<b>Planned</b>	0% Since no farmer groups exist (2012)	40% (2400 of 6000) (960 Women/ 720 Garo)	75% (4500 of 6000) (1800 Women/ 1350 Garo)	90% (5400 of 6000) (2160 Women/ 1620 Garo)	
		<b>Achieved</b>	100% farmers perceive benefits from membership of local groups (2014)	75% (5378 of 7171) (2850 women/1413 garo)	80% (6370 of 7963) (3121 women/1465 garo)	100% (8130 of 8130) (4182 women/1681 Garo)	
		<b>Source</b>					<b>RISK RATING</b>
		Baseline survey, FGDs & interviews with sample of target farmers, annual & final project evaluations					Low
<b>INPUTS (£)</b>	<b>DFID (£)</b>		<b>Govt (£)</b>	<b>Other (£)</b>	<b>Total (£)</b>	<b>DFID SHARE (%)</b>	
	199,109			66,370	265,479	75	
<b>INPUTS (HR)</b>	<b>DFID (FTEs)</b>						
	7.2335 (Field staff 5.8, Programme support staff 0.5585, Admin staff 0.725, Finance staff 0.15)						

<b>OUTPUT 2</b>	<b>Output Indicator 2.1</b>		<b>Baseline</b>	<b>Milestone 1 (March 31st 2013)</b>	<b>Milestone 2 (March 31st 2014)</b>	<b>Target (date) (March 31st 2015)</b>	<b>Assumptions</b>
Government and private sector stakeholders recognise the needs of target farmers and reflect these in the implementation of policies and practices and the allocation of resources	Improvements in policies, practices or resources negotiated by the district or regional associations	<b>Planned</b>	0 No policy improvement yet (2012)	0	2	4	Government bodies and officials recognise the associations as farmer representative bodies
		<b>Achieved</b>	0 No policy improvement but implementation of policies have improved, Groups and Associations have negotiated for resources and services from public/government institutions (2014)	0	0	0 improvements but good implementation	Private sector actors see a business case for working with farmers
		<b>Source</b>					Farmers willing to pay for/take up services provided by public/private service providers
		Baseline & project progress report, annual & final project evaluations, interviews with representative sample of government officials					
	<b>Output Indicator 2.2</b>		<b>Baseline</b>	<b>Milestone 1 (March 31st 2013)</b>	<b>Milestone 2 (March 31st 2014)</b>	<b>Target (date) (March 31st 2015)</b>	
	Public & private service providers trained to provide more appropriate & affordable services to target farmers	<b>Planned</b>	204 trained through previous project (2012)	204	300	300	
		<b>Achieved</b>	304 service providers trained and have established linkage with target farmers (2015)	204	304	304	
		<b>Source</b>					
		Records from service providers, companies and farmers groups					
<b>IMPACT WEIGHTING (%)</b>	<b>Output Indicator 2.3</b>		<b>Baseline</b>	<b>Milestone 1 (March 31st 2013)</b>	<b>Milestone 2 (March 31st 2014)</b>	<b>Target (date) (March 31st 2015)</b>	

15	Farmers that perceive benefits from district/regional association membership (disaggregated by gender and ethnicity)	<b>Planned</b>	0% No farmers linked to, or benefitting from any farmers' association (2012)	0%	40% (2400 of 6000) (960 women/ 720 Garo)	75% (4500 of 6000) (1800 Women/ 1350 Garo)	
		<b>Achieved</b>	15% farmers perceived benefits from associations (2014)	0	15% (1194 of 7963) (585 women/275 garo)	60% (4878of 8130) (2488 women/1024Garo)	
	<b>Source</b>						<b>RISK RATING</b>
Baseline survey, FGDs & interviews with sample of target farmers, annual & final project evaluations							
<b>INPUTS (£)</b>	<b>DFID (£)</b>		<b>Govt (£)</b>	<b>Other (£)</b>	<b>Total (£)</b>	<b>DFID SHARE (%)</b>	Medium
	97,427			32,476	129,903	75	
<b>INPUTS (HR)</b>	<b>DFID (FTEs)</b>						
	3.5285 (Field staff 2.175, Programme support staff 0.4785, Admin staff 0.725, Finance staff 0.15)						

<b>OUTPUT 3</b>	<b>Output Indicator 3.1</b>		<b>Baseline</b>	<b>Milestone 1 (March 31st 2013)</b>	<b>Milestone 2 (March 31st 2014)</b>	<b>Target (date) (March 31st 2015)</b>	<b>Assumption</b>
Target farmers have improved their production practices (increased quality and yields, reduced costs etc.) and increased market access	Farmers who see a 15% decrease in production costs (disaggregated by gender and ethnicity)	<b>Planned</b>	0% (2012) Av. Production costs in key sectors: Paddy BDT 26667/100 decimal Vegetable: BDT 53311 / 100 decimal Dairy: BDT 24865 / cow Goat: BDT 3911 / goat Poultry: BDT 2864 per 10 birds	10% (600 of 6000) (240 Women/ 180 Garo)	50% (3000 of 6000) (1200 Women/ 900 Garo)	80% (4800 of 6000) (1920 Women/ 1440 Garo)	Service providers have adequate technical expertise and knowledge  Farmers willing to adapt practices and use new knowledge and skills  Farmers see the value of technical and business services
		<b>Achieved</b>	Average production cost in key sector: Average production cost in key sector: Paddy BDT 20700 /100 decimal (2015) Vegetable: BDT 41815 / 100 decimal (2015) Dairy: 19200/ cow (2015) Goat: 1900/ goat (2015) Poultry: 2100 per 10 birds (2015)	40.7% (2919 Of 7171) (1547 women/767 garo)	52% (4141 Of 7963) (2029 women/952 garo)	80% (6504 of 8130) (3317 women/1366Garo)	
<b>Source</b>							
Baseline survey, annual & final project evaluations							
	<b>Output Indicator 3.2</b>		<b>Baseline</b>	<b>Milestone 1 (March 31st 2013)</b>	<b>Milestone 2 (March 31st 2014)</b>	<b>Target (date) (March 31st 2015)</b>	

	Farmers who see a 30% increase in productivity (disaggregated by gender and ethnicity)	<b>Planned</b>	0% (2012) Av. productivity of key sectors: Paddy: 1943 kg/100 decimal Vegetable: 4104 kg/ 100 decimal LS (cow): 438 Litter milk and 124 kg meat LS (goat) is 13.50 kg meat LS (poultry) is 506 eggs and 10.5 kg meat per 10 poultry bird (local variety)	10% (600 of 6000) (240 Women/ 180 Garo)	50% (3000 of 6000) (1200 Women/ 900 Garo)	80% (4800 of 6000) (1920 Women/ 1440 Garo)		
		<b>Achieved</b>	Av. productivity of key sectors: Paddy: Paddy: 2600 kg/100 decimal (2015) Vegetable: 7525 kg/ 100 decimal (2015) LS (cow): 450 Litter milk and 150 kg meat. (2015) LS (goat): 14.5 Kg meat (2015) LS (poultry): 510 eggs and 16 kg meat per 10 poultry birds (2015).	50% (3586 of 7171) (1901 women/942 garo)	55% (4380 of 7963) (2146 women/1007garo)	76% (6179 of 8130) (3151 women/1297Garo)		
		<b>Source</b>						
		Baseline survey, annual & final project evaluations						
<b>IMPACT WEIGHTING (%)</b>	<b>Output Indicator 3.3</b>		<b>Baseline</b>	<b>Milestone 1 (March 31st 2013)</b>	<b>Milestone 2 (March 31st 2014)</b>	<b>Target (date) (March 31st 2015)</b>		
	Farmers who see a 30% increase in sales (disaggregated by gender and ethnicity)	<b>Planned</b>	0% (2012) Av. sales price of key products: Paddy: BDT 29690/100 decimals Vegetable:BDT 65656/100 decimal LS (cow):BDT 50357/cow LS (goat):BDT 4690/goat LS (poultry)BDT 6794/10 poultry bird (local)	10% (600 of 6000) (240 Women/ 180 Garo)	40% (2400 of 6000) (1200 Women/ 900 Garo)	75% (4500 of 6000) (1800 Women/ 1350 Garo)		
		<b>Achieved</b>	Av. sales price of key products: Paddy: BDT 51324 /100 decimals (2015) Vegetable:BDT 130,578/100 decimal (2015) LS (cow): BDT 52,800/ cow (2015) LS (goat): BDT 5000/goat (2015) LS (poultry): BDT 7000/10 poultry bird (local) (2015)	56.4% (4044 of 7171 farmers) (2143 women/1062 garo)	60% (4778 of 7963) (2341 women/1030 garo)	88% (7154 of 8130) (3648 women/1502Garo)		
		<b>Source</b>						
		Baseline survey, annual & final project evaluations						
							<b>RISK RATING</b>	
							High	
<b>INPUTS (£)</b>	<b>DFID (£)</b>	<b>Govt (£)</b>	<b>Other (£)</b>	<b>Total (£)</b>	<b>DFID SHARE (%)</b>			
	190,994			63,665	254,659	75		

INPUTS (HR)	DFID (FTEs)		
	5.7515 (Field staff 4.35, Programme support staff 0.5265, Admin staff 0.725, Finance staff 0.15)		

OUTPUT 4	Output Indicator 4.1		Baseline	Milestone 1 (March 31st 2013)	Milestone 2 (March 31st 2014)	Target (date) (March 31st 2015)	Assumptions	
Target farmers are less vulnerable to shocks and stresses, especially natural disasters and climate fluctuations	Farmers that diversify production and/or adopt more environment friendly cultivation practices (disaggregated by gender and ethnicity)	Planned	0% (2012) No farmers have diversified and adopted more environment friendly cultivation practices.	0%	50% (3000 of 6000) (1200 Women/ 900 Garo)	80% (4800 of 6000) (1920 Women/ 1440 Garo)	Farmers realise the impact of climate change on their livelihoods  Farmers understand the need to reduce dependency on a single crop  Farmers actively participate in a range of safety mechanisms such as insurance, savings schemes and a community fund etc.	
		Achieved	55 % farmers have adopted more environment friendly cultivation practices (2014)	13% (930 of 7171 farmers) (493 women/244 garo)	55% (4380 of 7963 farmers) (2146 women/1007garo)	93% (7561 of 8130) (3856 women/1588Garo)		
	<b>Source</b>							
	Baseline survey, focus group discussions & interviews with sample of target farmers, annual & final project evaluations							
	Output Indicator 4.2		Baseline	Milestone 1 (March 31st 2013)	Milestone 2 (March 31st 2014)	Target (date) (March 31st 2015)		
	Farmers that report an increase in soil fertility (disaggregated by gender and ethnicity)	Planned	0% (2012) No farmers have knowledge of soil fertility levels.	0%	25% (1500 of 6000) (600 Women/ 450 Garo)	50% (3000 of 6000) (1200 Women/ 900 Garo)		
Achieved		41% farmers have improved knowledge on soil fertility level through soil testing (2014)	13% (930 of 7171 farmers) (493 women/244 garo)	41% (3240 of 7963 farmers) (1519 women/620 garo)	86% (6992 of 8130) (3566 women/1468 Garo)			
<b>Source</b>								
Baseline survey, annual & final project evaluations, SRDI/DAE reports								
IMPACT WEIGHTING (%)	Output Indicator 4.3		Baseline	Milestone 1 (March 31st 2013)	Milestone 2 (March 31st 2014)	Target (date) (March 31st 2015)		
15	Farmers covered by safety measures (disaggregated by gender and ethnicity)	Planned	0% (2012) No farmers covered by safety measures.	0%	30% (1800 of 6000) (720 Women/ 540 Garo)	90% (5400 of 6000) (2160 Women/ 1620 Garo)		
		Achieved	100% farmers covered by safety measures through group savings (2014)	0	100% (7963 of 7963 farmers) (3585 women/1790 garo)	100% (8130 of 8130) (4182 women/1681 Garo)		
	<b>Source</b>							
Baseline survey, annual & final project evaluations							<b>RISK RATING</b>	
							Medium	
INPUTS (£)	DFID (£)		Govt (£)	Other (£)	Total (£)	DFID SHARE (%)		
	236,480			78,827	315,307	75		
INPUTS (HR)	DFID (FTEs)							
	3.5285 3.5285 (Field staff 2.175, Programme support staff 0.4785, Admin staff 0.725, Finance staff 0.15)							